

BERRY, Paul

From: CHAMBERS, Richard
Sent: Wednesday, 17 October 2018 3:21 p.m.
To: BROCKLEHURST, Sarah
Subject: FW: TCIL

From: Sarah Baddeley [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 2:11 p.m.
To: CHAMBERS, Richard <Richard.Chambers@police.govt.nz>; ANDERSON, Timothy (Tim) <Timothy.Anderson2@police.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: TCIL

Hi Richard

We are in interviews all day Monday in Auckland and then deliberations Tuesday. A call might be our best bet late Monday.

For your information, Simon and I are Auckland based and Doug is Wellington based. The bulk of our interviews for the remainder of our work are in Auckland.

As previously provided to Mike Clement, attached is a letter that goes to the method that has been used by other state entities.

There are two areas where contact with Police and external security providers (TCIL) have previously been identified:

- 1) [REDACTED]
- 2) [REDACTED]

Talk more Monday.

Cheers

Sarah

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M + [REDACTED]
T [REDACTED]
E [REDACTED]
 [MartinJenkins](#)
 Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](#)



T +64 9 915 1360

Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand

martinjenkins.co.nz

From: CHAMBERS, Richard [<mailto:Richard.Chambers@police.govt.nz>]
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 2:06 p.m.
To: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED]; ANDERSON, Timothy (Tim) <Timothy.Anderson2@police.govt.nz>
Subject: Re: TCIL

Thank you Sarah.

For your records my cell is [REDACTED]

Tim - can you make early contact with Sarah with a view to meeting with Doug and Simon early. If it was possible to meet with them on Monday that would be helpful.

RC

Richard Chambers
Assistant Commissioner: Investigations
Police National Headquarters
Wellington, New Zealand

On 28/09/2018, at 1:54 PM, Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED] > wrote:

Thanks Mike

Yes, the SSC Inquiry co-heads Simon Mount and Doug Martin are meeting on Monday to discuss scope and timing issues across the SSC Inquiry generally. So we will also address what may be appropriate and helpful/relevant to your team then and revert to AC Chambers.

Richard C – my full contact details are below.

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M + [REDACTED]

T [REDACTED]

E [REDACTED]

 [MartinJenkins](#)

<image007.jpg> Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](#)



T +64 9 915 1360

<image009.png>

Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand
martinjenkins.co.nz

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this email message and any attached files may be confidential and subject to privilege. The views expressed may not necessarily be the official view of Martin, Jenkins and Associates Limited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, disclosure or copying of this email is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete the original. Thank you

From: SWEENEY, Mary [REDACTED] **On Behalf Of** CLEMENT, Michael
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 1:36 p.m.
To: chappie.tekani@police.govt.nz; travis.mills@police.govt.nz; richard.schmidt@police.govt.nz; Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED]
Cc: ARCHIBALD, Jane <Jane.Archibald@Police.Govt.NZ>; OGILVIE, Grant <Grant.Ogilvie@Police.Govt.NZ>; ANDERSON, Cassandra <Cassandra.Anderson@Police.Govt.NZ>; CHAMBERS, Richard <Richard.Chambers@police.govt.nz>; PANNETT, Michael (Mike)

<Michael.Pannett@police.govt.nz>; PEOPLES, William (Bill) [REDACTED]

Subject: TCIL

Chappie, Travis, Richard and Sarah

You are each aware that the Commissioner has been exploring options to commence an inquiry consistent with that undertaken by Doug Martin on behalf of SSC into the use of security consultants by Government agencies. [REDACTED]

After consulting with Ministers Woods and Nash yesterday it has been agreed that Police will conduct their own investigation in close liaison with Doug Martin's investigation.

There are three attachments, the first is a letter from me to Assistant Commissioner Chambers instructing him to initiate an investigation, the second is the ToR and the third is the media release (which includes the ToR) which will be issued shortly.

I am going to be away for two weeks from Monday, returning 15 October. Assistant Commissioner Mike Pannett will be relieving in my role for that period.

Sarah – we do not yet have a formal mechanism to engage Doug however once he returns from overseas I would invite the conversation with AC Chambers about the way forward.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]

E michael.clement@police.govt.nz



<image011.png>



WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

BERRY, Paul

From: OGILVIE, Grant
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 2:31 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael
Subject: Re: TCIL

Ok - going out in 5 mins

Grant Ogilvie
National Manager: Media Relations
New Zealand Police

Sent from my iPhone

On 28/09/2018, at 2:27 PM, CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz> wrote:

Thanks Travis.

Grant and Jane

We are good to go. [REDACTED]

Thanks

Mike

Sent from my iPhone

On 28/09/2018, at 2:22 PM, Travis Mills <[Travis.Mills@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Travis.Mills@[REDACTED])> wrote:

Hi Mike,

Just confirming that we are all happy from this end, [REDACTED].
You can release the statement when you're ready.

Trav

Travis Mills

Private Secretary (Police)
Office of Hon Stuart Nash, Minister of Police
Level 19 Bowen House* | Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6010

M [REDACTED]
P [REDACTED]
E [travis.mills@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:travis.mills@[REDACTED])

From: SWEENEY, Mary [REDACTED] On Behalf Of CLEMENT, Michael
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 1:36 PM
To: [chappie.tekan@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:chappie.tekan@[REDACTED]); Travis Mills <[Travis.Mills@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Travis.Mills@[REDACTED])>; [richard.schmidt@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:richard.schmidt@[REDACTED]) 'Sarah Baddeley'
<[sarah.baddeley@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:sarah.baddeley@[REDACTED])>
Cc: ARCHIBALD, Jane <Jane.Archibald@Police.Govt.NZ>; OGILVIE, Grant

<Grant.Ogilvie@Police.Govt.NZ>; ANDERSON, Cassandra
<Cassandra.Anderson@Police.Govt.NZ>; CHAMBERS, Richard
<Richard.Chambers@police.govt.nz>; PANNETT, Michael (Mike)
<Michael.Pannett@police.govt.nz>; PEOPLES, William (Bill)

[REDACTED]
Subject: TCIL

Chappie, Travis, Richard and Sarah

You are each aware that the Commissioner has been exploring options to commence an inquiry consistent with that undertaken by Doug Martin on behalf of SSC into the use of security consultants by Government agencies. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

After consulting with Ministers Woods and Nash yesterday it has been agreed that Police will conduct their own investigation in close liaison with Doug Martin's investigation.

There are three attachments, the first is a letter from me to Assistant Commissioner Chambers instructing him to initiate an investigation, the second is the ToR and the third is the media release (which includes the ToR) which will be issued shortly.

I am going to be away for two weeks from Monday, returning 15 October. Assistant Commissioner Mike Pannett will be relieving in my role for that period.

Sarah – we do not yet have a formal mechanism to engage Doug however once he returns from overseas I would invite the conversation with AC Chambers about the way forward.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]
M [REDACTED]
E michael.clement@police.govt.nz

<image001.png>

<image002.png><image003.png><image004.png><image005.png>

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

BERRY, Paul

From: SWEENEY, Mary on behalf of CLEMENT, Michael
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 1:36 p.m.
To: CHAMBERS, Richard
Cc: PANNETT, Michael (Mike); ANDERSON, Cassandra; COSTER, Andrew; OGILVIE, Grant; ARCHIBALD, Jane; EVANS, Robert (Mark); MCCARDLE, Hamish; RUSBATCH, Michael; PEOPLES, William (Bill); PANNETT, Michael (Mike)
Subject: TCIL

Richard

Attached are three documents, the first is a letter from me to you instructing you to initiate an investigation, the second is the ToR and the third is the media release (which includes the ToR) which will be issued shortly. This establishes the scope for your investigation which is to commence as soon as possible with the aim of being completed by 24 October. It will require significant commitment by investigators and SMEs to ensure that a thorough identification and assessment of the relevant material is possible. The Commissioner has indicated that this is a priority so please use this to ensure that you are able to access the right resource and skill set.

You will have noted from the previous email that there is not yet a mechanism in place to engage Doug Martin in the way envisaged by the ToR. He is offshore on holiday and is returning next week as I understand it. The purpose of engaging Doug Martin is to ensure consistency and to test our thinking with regard to the type of investigation we are conducting. It seems given what I know of the investigation they are yet to complete that they will be significantly committed to their own investigation and so our engagement may be limited to checking in every now and then with regard to how we are tracking.

As you know I am away now until 15 October but I am available by phone if necessary.

You will also have noted that I have advised SSC, DPMC, Martin Jenkins and our own Minister's office.

Grant / Jane – I will leave the timing of the release to you. It is good to go now. Just a text to Caz to let her know when it is going.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P + [REDACTED]
M + [REDACTED]
E michael.clement@police.govt.nz



WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the

intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

BERRY, Paul

From: CHAMBERS, Richard
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 1:31 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael
Cc: EVANS, Robert (Mark); OGILVIE, Grant; ARCHIBALD, Jane; PEOPLES, William (Bill); RUSBATCH, Michael; ANDERSON, Timothy (Tim); COSTER, Andrew; ANDERSON, Cassandra; PANNETT, Michael (Mike)
Subject: Re: TCIL

Kia ora Mike.

I am supportive of the content of both documents and the proposed way forward.

Detective Superintendent Tim Anderson will lead this investigation on my behalf.

Richard

Richard Chambers
Assistant Commissioner: Investigations
Police National Headquarters
Wellington, New Zealand

On 28/09/2018, at 9:07 AM, CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz> wrote:

Richard

Attached is what I consider to be near final draft of the ToR and accompanying letter to you with regard to the investigation we have spoken of in respect of engaging private contractors including TCIL. As you will be aware I endeavoured on behalf of the Commissioner to find a what to include Police within the scope of the SSC investigation. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Yesterday I accompanied Peter Hughes and Deb Power to meet with their relieving Minister, Megan Woods, to discuss the progress of the SSC investigation to date and the intention with regard to the Police approach. She was content with this approach. I had previously had a discussion with our Minister and he is similarly content with the approach to be taken.

Unless there are significant departures in the guidance provided in the two documents attached then I will formally send this out this afternoon to initiate the investigation. Note that we are being driven by SSC timelines in a sense, that is we want to avoid the SSC public announcement with regard to the outcome of their enquiry followed by some later date with an announcement of our own. To that end we will have to assemble a team representative of Investigation, R&O and Intelligence to ensure that the various dimensions of the ToR are met. I have indicated a report back date of 24 October. You have full support of the Commissioner and me with regard to assembling that team, please advise any issues. As I understand it you intend to put Supt Tim Anderson at the head of that investigation.

If you could get back to me with your thoughts in relation to the two documents and the way ahead by lunchtime I would appreciate it so that I can get a formal message out to people later today.

Jane Archibald and Grant Ogilvie are working on a pro active media release in the name of the Commissioner for later today.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]
M [REDACTED]
E michael.clement@police.govt.nz

<image001.png>

<image002.png><image003.png><image004.png><image005.png>

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

<2018-09-28 Memo to AC Investigations.doc>

<2018-09-28 TOR police investigation.docx>

BERRY, Paul

From: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2018 5:04 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael
Subject: RE: Thoughts Sarah?

Hi Mike

I think the terms of reference is fine, but you may want to consider a para something like this in your note to the AC.



“The objective of the investigation is to satisfy the Commissioner that NZ Police employees have engaged with external security consultants properly and consistent with the Police Code of Conduct. While the terms of reference relates to formal or structured engagements with these providers, it is also important that the conduct of Police employees around these engagements is considered proper. I ask that you work to interpret the terms of reference in such a manner as to retain public trust and confidence in the professionalism of the NZ Police and transparency about our dealings with these types providers.

This will mean that not every tip off needs to be examined, but you would do well to investigate carefully around known areas like [REDACTED]

Hope this helps.

Sarah

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M + [REDACTED]
T [REDACTED]
E Sarah.Baddeley@martinjenkins.co.nz
 [MartinJenkins](#)
 Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](#)



T +64 9 915 1360
Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand
martinjenkins.co.nz

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this email message and any attached files may be confidential and subject to privilege. The views expressed may not necessarily be the official view of Martin, Jenkins and Associates Limited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, disclosure or copying of this email is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete the original. Thank you

From: CLEMENT, Michael [mailto:Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2018 4:54 p.m.
To: Sarah Baddeley <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Thoughts Sarah?

Sorry attached now

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P + [REDACTED]
M + [REDACTED]
E michael.clement@police.govt.nz



WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

From: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2018 4:50 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Thoughts Sarah?

Hi Mike

There didn't seem to be a terms of reference revision attached?

I think you can keep the TOR largely as is – but give instruction to your staff with more context so as to ensure the report is sufficiently rounded and the findings sit within the right context to provide confidence. Your memo to staff could advise them to interpret the TOR to define the term engagement to be “engagement both contractually and in the context of an overall structured relationship.”

The interactions between Police Officers is only where they supplied TCIL (or others) information. I also wouldn't advise all interactions – otherwise its every private investigation tip off about a fraud case.

Feel free to give me a bell or perhaps send the revision.

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M + [REDACTED]
T [REDACTED]
E [Sarah.Baddeley@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Sarah.Baddeley@[REDACTED])
 [MartinJenkins](#)
 Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](#)



T +64 9 915 1360
Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand
martinjenkins.co.nz

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this email message and any attached files may be confidential and subject to privilege. The views expressed may not necessarily be the official view of Martin, Jenkins and Associates Limited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, disclosure or copying of this email is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete the original. Thank you

From: SWEENEY, Mary [REDACTED] **On Behalf Of** CLEMENT, Michael
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2018 4:42 p.m.

To: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED] >
Subject: RE: Thoughts Sarah?

Sarah

Adjustments below – your thoughts?

I had stuck closely to the SSC TOR.

I guess that, having now operated under that TOR, DM (and Co) are conscious of limits that the TOR contains.

Point taken regarding the concept of “engagement of” private security. That is from the SSC TOR. But have made a slight tweak to our draft TOR – as marked in attached version.

“Engaged with ...” (which is the revised wording) brings in a slightly wider concept, more closely aligned to ‘interacting with’ – as opposed to ‘contracted the services of ...’.

I wouldn’t advise that we specifically say any interactions whatsoever between police employees and private security providers.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]
M [REDACTED]
E michael.clement@police.govt.nz



WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

From: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2018 3:45 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: Thoughts Sarah?

Hi Mike

Thanks for the chance to review. Happy to do so - although on the basis that these are just my own opinions and not those of Doug and Simon as Inquiry heads.

The draft TOR speaks strongly to the formal engagement of external security consultants. However, the nature of the public criticism/potential loss of confidence to date has featured two additional components:

1) Inappropriate relationships between state servants and external security consultants including, potentially, [REDACTED] etc. All of which, I am sure, would also concern you and no doubt be a breach of your own code.

2) The receipt of intelligence (gathered through surveillance) [REDACTED].

The first point could be a gap in your TOR. It is also the most time-consuming element of investigation. To be consistent with our method you would need to look at individual interactions and quickly identify those that are potentially problematic. For me the basic test would be to prioritise those where Police provided information to external security consultants including (especially) TCIL. This would cover off potential themes such as [REDACTED]. It could also then exclude where Police received information from external security consultants (ie tip offs/fraud/corporate theft etc) which I understand to be common place.

The second of these points is difficult given the nature of your intelligence gathering function. This might be best addressed by the term "engagement" being interpreted in the manner set out, but analysed and reported within the wider context of the operations of national intelligence functions (not unlike the advice already provided to the Inquiry by Jacqui Ellis from NZ Police). The important point is to provide assurance to the public that Police are calibrating any information received against your own risk frameworks and with a strong Bill of Rights discipline and ultimately oversight by the IPCA.

At the very least I expect you will want to clarify what the nature of your relationship is with TCIL explicitly in any report.

Feel free to contact me if any of the information set out above is unclear.

Sarah

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M + [REDACTED]
T [REDACTED]
E Sarah.Baddeley@martinjenkins.co.nz
MartinJenkins
Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](http://www.martinjenkins.co.nz/blog)



T +64 9 915 1360

Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand

martinjenkins.co.nz

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this email message and any attached files may be confidential and subject to privilege. The views expressed may not necessarily be the official view of Martin, Jenkins and Associates Limited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, disclosure or copying of this email is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete the original. Thank you

From: CLEMENT, Michael [<mailto:Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz>]
Sent: Thursday, 27 September 2018 3:03 p.m.
To: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED]
Subject: Thoughts Sarah?

Mike Clement

Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]

E michael.clement@police.govt.nz



WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

BERRY, Paul

From: BUSH, Michael (Mike)
Sent: Thursday, 20 September 2018 4:16 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael
Cc: ANDERSON, Cassandra; COSTER, Andrew; PEOPLES, William (Bill); ARCHIBALD, Jane; EVANS, Robert (Mark); MCCARDLE, Hamish; TIMS, John
Subject: Re: SSC / TCIL Investigation

Thanks Mike.

Sounds fair - hopefully we will have something to look at by Monday.

Cheers
Mike

Sent from my iPhone

On 20/09/2018, at 10:30 AM, CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz> wrote:

Commissioner

I met with Doug Martin, Sarah Baddeley and Simon Mount this morning as scheduled. We discussed the progress and scope of their investigation. Doug was grateful for and complimentary of the Police support for their investigation thus far. Given that Police have been outside the scope of the investigation he wanted to update us with regard to progress and expected timelines.

At the conclusion of this discussion I formed the view that there would be merit in exploring an extension of that inquiry to include Police. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] my view is formed on the basis of consistency and transparency which you and I have both been eager to ensure at the outset. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. Doug is supportive of this approach subject to the point I have just made.

The inclusion of Police would have to be supported by SSC through to the Prime Minister for sign off. I have suggested that the starting point would be your invitation to take this approach. [REDACTED]

If Police were to be included within the scope of the investigation we would naturally support their access based off a Terms of Reference that has been applied to other agencies.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]

E michael.clement@police.govt.nz

<image001.png>

<image002.png><image003.png> <image004.png> <image005.png>

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

BERRY, Paul

From: EVANS, Robert (Mark)
Sent: Monday, 30 July 2018 8:49 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael
Cc: VENABLES, Sandra
Subject: Re: SSC Inquiry Letter to State Sector Chief Executives Draft for Police FYI

Noted and understood.

Mark.

R. Mark Evans OBE
Deputy Chief Executive: Strategy

P [REDACTED]

E robert.evans@police.govt.nz

Sent from a New Zealand Police mobility device

On 30/07/2018, at 12:35 PM, CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz> wrote:

Mark

This is to give you an early heads up that the SSC (Thomson Clark - TCIL) enquiry rolls on. The original mandate (which included Police) was extended to include a wider range of Government Agencies. While the Commissioner's independence does limit the SSC enquiry insofar as Police is concerned, we have cooperated with Doug Martin's enquiry as if we were subject to SSC legislation.

The original scope was focused on Southern Response and TCIL and by implication, Police. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. I will need to speak to you about where that is heading, in part at least. The attachment is a letter written by the enquiry to Agency CE's (but not Police because of the independence issue) and will give you a flavour of the learnings coming from that continuing enquiry and the suggestions being made to CE's. I have checked with the Commissioner and he sees it (as I do) as he has done throughout and that is that we would respond as if we were subject to SSC leg.

Thanks

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]

E michael.clement@police.govt.nz

<image014.png>

<image015.png><image009.png> <image016.png> <image017.png>

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

From: Sarah Baddeley [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 24 July 2018 12:50 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael <Michael.Clement@police.govt.nz>
Cc: Doug Martin [REDACTED]; Simon Mount [REDACTED]
>
Subject: SSC Inquiry Letter to State Sector Chief Executives Draft for Police FYI

Hi Mike

For your information I attached the current draft of a letter that Doug will move to finalise and distribute via the Commission for State Sector CEs covered by the TOR for the extended Inquiry.

I will touch base with you next week and also provide you with a final in due course.

Cheers

Sarah

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

E Sarah.Baddeley@martinjenkins.co.nz
<image018.png> [MartinJenkins](#)
<image019.jpg> Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](#)

<image003.png>

<image004.png>

T +64 9 915 1360

Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand
martinjenkins.co.nz

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this email message and any attached files may be confidential and subject to privilege. The views expressed may not necessarily be the official view of Martin, Jenkins and Associates Limited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, disclosure or copying of this email is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete the original. Thank you

<2018-07-23 SSC Inquiry Letter to State Sector Chief Executives Draft for Police FYI.docx>

BERRY, Paul

From: Doug Martin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 2:03 p.m.
To: Sarah Baddeley
Cc: CLEMENT, Michael; chappie.tekan [REDACTED]; travis [REDACTED]; richard.schmidt@[REDACTED]; ARCHIBALD, Jane; OGILVIE, Grant; ANDERSON, Cassandra; CHAMBERS, Richard; PANNETT, Michael (Mike); PEOPLES, William (Bill); Simon Mount
Subject: Re: TCIL

Yes looks fine

Sent from my iPhone

On 28/09/2018, at 11:54 AM, Sarah Baddeley <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thanks Mike

Yes, the SSC Inquiry co-heads Simon Mount and Doug Martin are meeting on Monday to discuss scope and timing issues across the SSC Inquiry generally. So we will also address what may be appropriate and helpful/relevant to your team then and revert to AC Chambers.

Richard C – my full contact details are below.

Sarah Baddeley
Manager, Consulting

M [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

E [Sarah.Baddeley@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:Sarah.Baddeley@[REDACTED])

<image006.png> [MartinJenkins](#)

<image007.jpg> Read our blog: [From the Exosphere](#)

<image008.png>

<image009.png>

T +64 9 915 1360

Level 6, The Chancery Building, 50 Kitchener Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 7551, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand
martinjenkins.co.nz

PLEASE NOTE: The information contained in this email message and any attached files may be confidential and subject to privilege. The views expressed may not necessarily be the official view of Martin, Jenkins and Associates Limited. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, disclosure or copying of this email is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by reply email and delete the original. Thank you

From: SWEENEY, Mary [REDACTED] Behalf Of CLEMENT, Michael
Sent: Friday, 28 September 2018 1:36 p.m.
To: [chappie.tekani@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:chappie.tekani@[REDACTED]); [travis.mills@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:travis.mills@[REDACTED]); [richard.schmidt@\[REDACTED\]](mailto:richard.schmidt@[REDACTED])
Sarah Baddeley <[REDACTED]>
Cc: ARCHIBALD, Jane <Jane.Archibald@Police.Govt.NZ>; OGILVIE, Grant <Grant.Ogilvie@Police.Govt.NZ>; ANDERSON, Cassandra <Cassandra.Anderson@Police.Govt.NZ>; CHAMBERS, Richard <Richard.Chambers@police.govt.nz>; PANNETT, Michael (Mike) <Michael.Pannett@police.govt.nz>; PEOPLES, William (Bill) <William.Peoples@police.govt.nz>
Subject: TCIL

Chappie, Travis, Richard and Sarah

You are each aware that the Commissioner has been exploring options to commence an inquiry consistent with that undertaken by Doug Martin on behalf of SSC into the use of security consultants by Government agencies. [REDACTED]

After consulting with Ministers Woods and Nash yesterday it has been agreed that Police will conduct their own investigation in close liaison with Doug Martin's investigation.

There are three attachments, the first is a letter from me to Assistant Commissioner Chambers instructing him to initiate an investigation, the second is the ToR and the third is the media release (which includes the ToR) which will be issued shortly.

I am going to be away for two weeks from Monday, returning 15 October. Assistant Commissioner Mike Pannett will be relieving in my role for that period.

Sarah – we do not yet have a formal mechanism to engage Doug however once he returns from overseas I would invite the conversation with AC Chambers about the way forward.

Regards

Mike

Mike Clement
Deputy Commissioner
National Operations

P [REDACTED]

E michael.clement@police.govt.nz

<image010.png>

<image011.png><image012.png><image013.png><image014.png>

WARNING

The information contained in this email message is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged information. It may also be subject to the provisions of section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, which creates an offence to have unlawful possession of Police property. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or have received this message in error, you must not peruse, use, distribute or copy this message or any of its contents. Also note, the views expressed in this message may not necessarily reflect those of the New Zealand Police. If you have received this message in error, please email or telephone the sender immediately.

BERRY, Paul

From: COSTER, Andrew
Sent: Monday, 17 September 2018 9:54 p.m.
To: CLEMENT, Michael
Cc: ANDERSON, Cassandra
Subject: DPMC query re Thompson and Clark

Hi Mike

After the following comments by the PM at the post-Cabinet press conference today, and the story linked below that, DPMC is seeking clarification on what, if anything, we are doing to look at our connection to Thompson and Clark, and related matters. Is that something you're best placed to give some comment on?

Kind regards

Andy

Media: Prime Minister, why is the investigation, or the inquiry, into Thompson and Clark not looking at the Police—

PM: Yeah, it's a good question. I've actually raised that question directly, via my office, with SSC. It's simply a mandate question. The State Services Commission, because of the independence of the Police, just don't have the ability to extend their inquiry into the Police. What I'd like to see, though, is whatever findings the SSC make—for those to be shared directly with the Police.

...

Media: Given the allegation about Thompson and Clark acting on behalf of police and placed tracking devices on cars, used paid informants, who developed relationships with animal rights activists who were quite young, who posed no real major threat to New Zealand—doesn't that tell you that the inquiry needs to be extended?

PM: Yeah, and I do understand police are looking into the use of Thompson Clark themselves, and that SSC is liaising with them on the work that they're doing. But I've been advised that they, again, don't have the ability to take that direct role because of the independence of the police. But I would hope that whatever findings SSC makes will be shared across the public service.

<https://i.stuff.co.nz/national/107025400/call-for-inquiry-into-problematic-police-use-of-private-investigators>

On 17/09/2018, at 5:41 PM, Richard Schmidt [DPMC] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

[IN-CONFIDENCE]

Richard Schmidt

Policy Advisory Group

Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet

P [REDACTED]

E [REDACTED]

[richard.schmidt](mailto:richard.schmidt@pmc.govt.nz) [REDACTED]

<image001.png>

The information contained in this email message is for the attention of the intended recipient only and is not necessarily the official view or communication of the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, copy or distribute this message or the information in it. If you have received this message in error, please destroy the email and notify the sender immediately.